

# Completeness for Categories of Generalized Automata ((Co)algebraic pearls) / CALCO 2023

---

Guido Boccali<sup>†</sup>, Andrea Laretto<sup>⊛</sup>, Fosco Loregian<sup>⊛</sup>, Stefano Luneia<sup>‡</sup>

2023-06-21

<sup>†</sup> : Università di Torino

<sup>⊛</sup> : Tallinn University of Technology

<sup>‡</sup> : Università di Bologna

- Many ways of *categorifying* automata theory in category theory [Adámek-Trnková, 1990], [Rutten, 2000], [Jacobs, 2006]
- Very long tradition, with some works already from the 1970s [Ehrig et al. 1974], [Naudé, 1977], [Guitart, 1980]
- We want to study the *completeness of categories of  $F$ -automata*
- This is known in the literature for the case  $F := - \otimes I$  [Ehrig, 1974]: *we present a generalization based on a more conceptual approach.*
- We formalize some of our results in the Agda proof assistant.

# Automata in monoidal categories

- Our setting: *automata in a monoidal category*  $(\mathcal{K}, \otimes, 1)$
- Take two fixed  $I, O \in \mathcal{K}$ , representing input and output objects.

## Definition

A **Moore automata**  $\langle E, d, s \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  is an object  $E$  with morphisms  $d, s$ :

$$E \xleftarrow{d} E \otimes I ; E \xrightarrow{s} O$$

## Definition

A **morphism of Moore automata** between  $\langle E, d, s \rangle$  and  $\langle T, d', s' \rangle$  is a morphism  $f: E \rightarrow T$  making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{d} & E \otimes I \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \otimes I \\ T & \xleftarrow{d'} & T \otimes I \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{s} & O \\ f \downarrow & & \parallel \\ T & \xrightarrow{s'} & O \end{array}$$

- We denote the category of Moore automata as  $\text{Moore}(I, O)$ .

# Mealy automata

## Definition

A **Mealy automata** in  $\mathcal{K}$  is a span of two morphisms  $d$  and  $s$ :

$$E \xleftarrow{d} E \otimes I \xrightarrow{s} O$$

## Definition

A **morphism of Mealy automata** between  $\langle E, d, s \rangle$  and  $\langle T, d', s' \rangle$  is a morphism  $f: E \rightarrow T$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xleftarrow{d} & E \otimes I & \xrightarrow{s} & O \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \otimes I & & \parallel \\ T & \xleftarrow{d'} & T \otimes I & \xrightarrow{s'} & O \end{array}$$

- Mealy automata arrange into categories  $\text{Mealy}(I, O)$ , which are actually the hom-categories of a bicategory!<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>: [Boccali, Laretto, Loregian, Luneia, 2023]

# $F$ -Moore and $F$ -Mealy

- A natural generalization: replace  $- \otimes I$  in the domain with a generic endofunctor  $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  acting on the states.
- Idea: imagine  $F$  as providing an action context for the automaton.

## Definition

A **morphism of  $F$ -automata** between  $\langle E, d, s \rangle$  and  $\langle T, d', s' \rangle$  is a morphism  $f: E \rightarrow T$  making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Moore:} & \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{d} & FE \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow Ff \\ T & \xleftarrow{d'} & FT \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{s} & O \\ f \downarrow & & \parallel \\ T & \xrightarrow{s'} & O \end{array} \\
 & & & \text{Mealy:} & \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{d} & FE \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow Ff \\ T & \xleftarrow{d'} & FT \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} FE & \xrightarrow{s} & O \\ & & \parallel \\ FT & \xrightarrow{s'} & O \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

- We denote the category of  $F$ -Moore automata by  $F\text{-Moore}(O)$ .
- A Moore machine is an  $F$ -Moore machine where  $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is the functor  $- \otimes I := E \mapsto E \otimes I$ .

## Examples of $F$

- Different choices of  $F$  lead to different notions of automata (e.g., sequential/tree/linear automata) [Adámek-Trnková, 1990].
- In particular, we take into consideration the case where  $F$  has a **right adjoint**  $R$ .

$$E \xleftarrow{d} FE \xrightarrow{s} O,$$

$$RE \xleftarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{s} RO.$$

- In the case where  $F := I \otimes -$ , this corresponds to the internal hom  $R := [I, -]$ , thus associating a "transition map" to each state.

# Completeness of categories of categorical automata

- We are interested in the following question:

*When is the category of  $F$ -automata (co)complete?*

- Our contribution: a conceptual proof that  $F$ -Moore( $O$ ) and  $F$ -Mealy( $O$ ) are (co)complete when  $\mathcal{K}$  is, based on the theory of *2-pullbacks* in  $\mathbf{Cat}$  and basic facts about limit-preserving functors.
- Proof sketch:
  - 1 Present  $F$ -Moore( $O$ ) and  $F$ -Mealy( $O$ ) as 2-pullbacks in  $\mathbf{Cat}$ .
  - 2 Theorem [Mac Lane, 1998]: if the functors of the pullback satisfy some conditions, then we can compute limits in the pullback.
  - 3 The functors characterizing  $F$ -Moore( $O$ ) and  $F$ -Mealy( $O$ ) satisfy the conditions, along with the fact that  $F$  is a left adjoint.
  - 4 Hence, the categories are complete when the base category  $\mathcal{K}$  also is.

# Characterization of $F$ -Moore and $F$ -Mealy

## Theorem

The categories  $F$ -Moore( $O$ ) and  $F$ -Mealy( $O$ ) can be characterized as the following strict 2-pullbacks in  $\mathbf{Cat}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F\text{-Moore}(O) & \xrightarrow{U} & (\mathcal{K}/_O) \\ \downarrow v & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{dom} \\ \text{Alg}(F) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \mathcal{K} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} F\text{-Mealy}(O) & \xrightarrow{U} & (F \downarrow O) \\ \downarrow v & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{dom} \\ \text{Alg}(F) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

- $\text{Alg}(F)$  is the category of algebras of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ .
- **forget** is the canonical forgetful functor of  $F$ -algebras.
- $(\mathcal{K}/_O)$  is the slice category of  $\mathcal{K}$  over  $O$ .
- **dom** is the forgetful functor of slicecomma categories on the domain.
- $(F \downarrow O)$  is the comma category defined by  $F$  and the constant functor on the object  $O$ .



# Intuition, $F$ -Mealy as pullback

- Intuition for the characterization of  $F$ -Mealy( $O$ ) as pullback in  $\text{Cat}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{d} & FE & \xrightarrow{s} & O \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow Ff & & \parallel \\ T & \xleftarrow{d'} & FT & \xrightarrow{s'} & O \end{array} & \\
 \swarrow & & \searrow & & \\
 \text{Alg}(F) & \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{d} & FE \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow Ff \\ T & \xleftarrow{d'} & FT \end{array} & \rightarrow & \begin{array}{c} E \\ f \downarrow \\ T \end{array} & \leftarrow & \begin{array}{ccc} FE & \xrightarrow{s} & O \\ \downarrow Ff & & \parallel \\ FT & \xrightarrow{s'} & O \end{array} & (F \downarrow O)
 \end{array}$$

# Basic notions on limits

## Definition

A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  **preserves** limits of shape  $J : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  when, given a limit  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $F(x)$  is the limit of the composite diagram  $\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ .

## Definition

A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  **reflects** limits of shape  $J : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  when, given a cone  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $F(x)$  is the limit of the composite diagram  $\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ , then  $x$  was already a limit of  $J$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Definition

A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  **creates** limits of shape  $J : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  when it both **preserves** and **reflects** them.

# Pullbacks in $\mathbf{Cat}$ and limits

Theorem (Mac Lane 1998, V.6, Ex. 3)

Given a pullback diagram in  $\mathbf{Cat}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{H'} & \mathcal{Y} \\ G' \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow G \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{H} & \mathcal{Z} \end{array}$$

If  $H$  **creates** limits of shape  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $G$  **preserves** them, then  $H'$  also **creates** limits of shape  $\mathcal{J}$ .

Proposition (Riehl 2016, Prop. 3.3.8)

- The functor **forget** :  $\mathbf{Alg}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  **creates** limits.
- The functor **dom** :  $\mathcal{K}_{/O} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  **creates** colimits and connected limits.

Proposition (Borceux 1994, Vol. 2, Prop. 4.3.2)

- Since  $F$  is a left adjoint, **forget** :  $\mathbf{Alg}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  **creates** colimits.

# Completeness of categories of automata

## Theorem

- Let  $\mathcal{K}$  admit **colimits of shape  $\mathcal{J}$** .  
Then  $F\text{-Moore}(O)$  and  $F\text{-Mealy}(O)$  also admit them,  
and they are computed as in  $\mathcal{K}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{K}$  admit **connected limits**.  
Then  $F\text{-Moore}(O)$  and  $F\text{-Mealy}(O)$  also admit them,  
and they are computed as in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Proof.** Immediate using the characterizations of  $F\text{-Mealy}/F\text{-Moore}$ .

## Theorem

- Let  $\mathcal{K}$  admit countable products and pullbacks.  
Then  $F\text{-Moore}(O)$  and  $F\text{-Mealy}(O)$  admit products of any finite  
cardinality (in particular, a terminal object),  
but they are **not** computed as in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

→ We must define discrete limits explicitly! (Terminal and products)

## Behaviour extension

- If  $(\mathcal{K}, \otimes, 1)$  has countable coproducts preserved by each  $- \otimes I$ , a Moore automata can be extended to a span:

$$E \xleftarrow{d^*} E \otimes I^* \xrightarrow{s^*} O,$$

where  $I^* := \sum_{n \geq 0} I^n$  is the freely generated monoid from  $I$ , and the morphisms  $d^*, s^*$  are defined inductively from components

$$d_n, s_n : E \times I^n \rightarrow E, O \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Similarly, Mealy automata can be extended to  $I^+ := \sum_{n \geq 1} I^n$  as

$$E \xleftarrow{d^+} E \otimes I^+ \xrightarrow{s^+} O.$$

- Intuition: extend the automata to act on *strings of symbols* instead of single inputs.

## Behaviour extension of a $F$ -automata

- An  $F$ -Moore automata  $\langle E, d, s \rangle$  can be similarly extended; given

$$E \xleftarrow{d} FE \ ; \ E \xrightarrow{s} O$$

we define the family of morphisms  $s_n : F^n E \rightarrow O$  for  $n \geq 0$  as the composites

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} s_0 = E \xrightarrow{s} O \\ s_1 = FE \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{s} O \\ s_2 = FFE \xrightarrow{Fd} FE \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{s} O \\ s_n = F^n E \xrightarrow{F^{n-1}d} F^{n-1} E \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{FFd} FFE \xrightarrow{Fd} FE \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{s} O \end{array} \right.$$

- In our assumption where  $F \dashv R$ , each map is equivalent to its mate

$$\frac{s_n : F^n E \rightarrow O}{\bar{s}_n : E \rightarrow R^n O} \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

obtained by iterating the adjunction structure.

# Skip and behaviour maps

- Each morphism obtained like this

$$\bar{s}_n : E \rightarrow R^n O$$

is called the  $n$ -th *skip map*, since it gives the dynamics of a state after skipping  $n$  input steps.

- In case  $\mathcal{K}$  has countable products, the family of all  $n$ -th skip maps  $(s_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0})$  is equivalent to a single map

$$\text{beh}_E : E \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} R^n O$$

called the *behaviour map of the automata*  $E := \langle E, d, s \rangle$ .

# Terminal object

- The behaviour map has a specific universal property:

## Theorem (Terminal object of $F$ -Moore)

The category  $F$ -Moore has a **terminal object**

$$\mathfrak{o} = \langle O_\infty, s_\infty, d_\infty \rangle, \text{ where } O_\infty = \prod_{n \geq 0} R^n O.$$

Explicitly, for any other  $F$ -Moore automata  $\mathbf{E} := \langle E, d, s \rangle$ , the behaviour map  $\text{beh}_E : E \rightarrow O_\infty$  is the unique morphism making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{d} & FE \\ \text{beh}_E \downarrow & & \downarrow F\text{beh}_E \\ O_\infty & \xleftarrow{d_\infty} & FO_\infty \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{s} & O \\ \text{beh}_E \downarrow & & \parallel \\ O_\infty & \xrightarrow{s_\infty} & O \end{array}$$



## Terminal object, explicitly

- The terminal object  $O_\infty$  in a category of machines tends to be "big", since it can be obtained by Adámek's theorem as the terminal coalgebra for the functor

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto O \times RA && \text{for } F\text{-Moore}(O), \\ A &\mapsto RO \times RA && \text{for } F\text{-Mealy}(O). \end{aligned}$$

- The morphism  $d_\infty$  is defined using the universal property of the product by combining the family  $(d_i \mid i \geq 0)$ , given as

$$d_i := \frac{\prod_{n \geq 0} R^n O \xrightarrow{\pi_{i+1}} R^{i+1} O}{F(\prod_{n \geq 0} R^n O) \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}_{i+1}} R^i O}, \quad d_\infty : F(\prod_{n \geq 0} R^n O) \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} R^n O$$

and  $s_\infty$  is simply the first projection:

$$s_\infty := \prod_{n \geq 0} R^n O \xrightarrow{\pi_0} O$$

- Intuition:  $d_\infty$  advances the behaviour by one step, and  $s_\infty$  outputs.

# Products in $F$ -Moore and $F$ -Mealy

## Theorem (Products of $F$ -automata)

Given  $F$ -Moore automata  $\mathbf{E} := \langle E, d, s \rangle, \mathbf{T} := \langle T, d', s' \rangle$ , the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_\infty & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{beh}_T \\ E & \xrightarrow{\text{beh}_E} & O_\infty \end{array}$$

exhibits the carrier of an  $F$ -Moore automata  $\mathbf{p} := \langle P_\infty, d_P, s_P \rangle$  that has the universal property of the **product** of  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$  in  $F\text{-Moore}(O)$ .

- Intuition:  $P_\infty$  is the set of pairs of states  $(\alpha, \beta) \in E \times T$  such that for every string of inputs  $\text{beh}_E(\alpha) = \text{beh}_T(\beta)$ , i.e., their behaviour coincides:  $P_\infty$  corresponds to a *bisimulation* object.

# Adjoints to behaviour functors

- Our approach generalizes the one of Naudé [1977, 1979]:

## Definition

Call an endofunctor  $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  an *input process* if the forgetful functor  $U: \text{Alg}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  has a left adjoint  $G$ ; in simple terms, an input process allows to define free  $F$ -algebras.

- Naudé [1977, 1979] concentrates on building an adjunction between a category of machines and a category of their *behaviours*

$$L: \text{Beh}(F) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{Mach}(F): E$$

where  $\text{Mach}(F)$  is the category obtained from the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mach}(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow} \times \mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{dom} \times \text{cod} \\ \text{Alg}(F) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

and  $\text{Beh}(F)$  is a certain comma category on  $G$ .

# Adjoints to behaviour functors

- This theorem is conceptual enough to carry over to any category of automata that can be presented as strict 2-pullback in  $\text{Cat}$  of sufficiently well-behaved functors.

## Theorem

There exist functors  $B$  and  $L$ , as follows:

$$B : \text{Alg}(F) / \langle O_\infty, d_\infty \rangle \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \end{array} F\text{-Moore}(O) : L$$

where  $\langle O_\infty, d_\infty \rangle$  is the terminal (behaviour)  $F$ -algebra given.

## Theorem

This is part of a longer chain of adjoints obtained as follows:

$$\mathcal{K} / O_\infty \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{G}} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \tilde{U} \end{array} \text{Alg}(F) / \langle O_\infty, d_\infty \rangle \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ B \end{array} F\text{-Moore}(O),$$

where we denote with  $\tilde{G} : \mathcal{K} / U_A \rightleftarrows \mathcal{H} / A : \tilde{U}$  the “local” adjunction obtained from  $G : \mathcal{K} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{H} : U$ , with  $\tilde{U}(FA, f : FA \rightarrow A) = Uf$ .

- We have formalized the more technical parts of our work in Agda, a dependently typed programming language and proof assistant.
- Formalization work:
  - Characterization of  $F\text{-Moore}(O)/F\text{-Mealy}(O)$  as pullbacks in  $\text{Cat}$ .
  - Products and terminal objects in  $F\text{-Moore}(O)$ , explicitly.
  - Adjoints to behaviour functors, generalizing Naudé's approach.
  - $\text{Mealy}(I, O)$  are the hom-categories of the bicategory **Mealy**.
- We use the *agda-categories* library as a foundation to capture the basic notions of category theory.
- (*Almost 2000 lines of code!*)
- Formalization is freely available online:



<https://github.com/iwilare/categorical-automata>

## Conclusion and Future work

- Characterizing categories of structures as *composition of simpler categories* can be a useful technique to compute limits.
- Bigger picture: the technology of category-theoretic approaches is rapidly shifting towards 2-dimensional categories as foundations for complex systems [Spivak et al. 2019], [Myers, 2021]
- Generalize other aspects of automata theory from the point of view of higher category theory (e.g. Krohn-Rhodes theorem).
- Formalizing these results in a proof assistant might pave the way for more concrete applications, where proofs act as programs to *produce and convert automata* in a provably correct way.

Thank you!